

On the 2-modular reduction of the Steinberg representation of the symplectic group

Fernando Szechtman

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1

e-mail: fszechtm@herod.uwaterloo.ca

Abstract

We show that in characteristic 2, the Steinberg representation of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, q a power of an odd prime p , has two irreducible constituents lying just above the socle that are isomorphic to the two Weil modules of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$.

1 Introduction

The Steinberg representation was constructed by R. Steinberg [St] in 1957 for all finite Chevalley groups $G = G(F_q)$, q a power of a prime p . He did so by identifying a particular right ideal in the group algebra FG over an arbitrary field F . He then showed this ideal to be minimal precisely when the characteristic of F does not divide the index of the normalizer of a p -Sylow subgroup of G . In particular, the Steinberg representation is irreducible in characteristic 0.

The general problem of finding the composition factors of the Steinberg module has recently been addressed by Gow [G], who has a conjecture in the case of the general linear group. He also determined all irreducible constituents in a few examples. One composition factor that is certainly known is the socle, which is irreducible and generated as a G -module by the fixed points of U .

Our main result in this context is the following: in characteristic 2, the Steinberg representation of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, q a power of an odd prime p , has two irreducible constituents lying just above the socle that are isomorphic to the two Weil modules of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$.

We start the paper by constructing a family of irreducible representations for a p -Sylow subgroup U of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ over a field F of characteristic $l \neq p$ containing a primitive p -th root of unity. This is done in section 3 under no restrictions on p . We also produce families

of irreducible F -modules for the normalizer B of U , and for a maximal parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. It is then shown in section 4 that, for p odd, the above irreducible modules are essentially those found in the Weil representation. All information needed in regards to the Weil representation is contained in section 4.

In section 5 we show that, provided l divides $q + 1$, the Steinberg module restricted to P contains copies of the two Weil modules of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$ restricted to P , up to multiplication by an explicit linear character $P \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \subset F^*$.

Finally, in section 6 we prove that, if $l = 2$, the Steinberg module modulo the socle contains copies of the two Weil modules of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$. In order to extend the results of section 5 to those of section 6 we prove a criterion ensuring that only two identities in the symplectic group algebra $F\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ need to be verified. The actual verification of these identities is difficult, and occupies the rest of the paper.

The most basic concepts and definitions, along with our choice of notation can be found in section 2.

I am very grateful to R. Gow for suggesting this problem.

2 Basic Notions

The Symplectic Group. Let F_q be a finite field of characteristic p . Let V be a vector space of dimension $2n$ over F_q endowed with a non-degenerate alternating form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The symplectic group of rank $2n$ over F_q , denoted simply by Sp , is the group of all $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ satisfying

$$\langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle, \quad v, w \in V.$$

Witt decomposition of V and associated subgroups of Sp . We fix throughout a symplectic basis of V . This is a basis $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$ satisfying

$$\langle u_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0.$$

Write $M = \mathrm{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $N = \mathrm{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let Sp^M be the pointwise stabilizer

of M in Sp . The matrix of any $s \in \text{Sp}^M$ has the appearance

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & S \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad (1)$$

where S is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in F_q . Let $\text{Sp}_{M,N}$ be the subgroup of Sp preserving both M and N . The matrix of any $a \in \text{Sp}_{M,N}$ has the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{array} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $A \in \text{GL}_n(q)$. The group $\text{Sp}_{M,N}$ normalizes Sp^M . The semidirect product group $\text{Sp}_M = \text{Sp}^M \rtimes \text{Sp}_{M,N}$ is the global stabilizer of M in Sp . It is a maximal subgroup of Sp .
A p -Sylow subgroup of Sp . Let T be the group of all g in $\text{Sp}_{M,N}$ whose matrix is of the form (2), where A is upper triangular and has 1's on the main diagonal. Then $U = T \rtimes \text{Sp}^M$ is a p -Sylow subgroup of Sp .

Let H be the group of all $g \in \text{Sp}_{M,N}$ having all u_i and v_j as eigenvalues. The group U is normalized by H . The semidirect product $B = U \rtimes H$ is the normalizer of U in Sp .

The Weyl group. For $1 \leq i < n$, denote by w_i the element of Sp that preserves the given symplectic basis, and is defined by

$$w_i = (u_i, u_{i+1})(v_i, v_{i+1}).$$

We also define, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, the elements c_j of Sp by: $c_j(u_j) = v_j$, $c_j(v_j) = -u_j$, while all other basis vectors remain fixed.

Let $W_0 = \langle w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} \rangle$ and $W_1 = \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$. The group W_1 is normalized by W_0 . Let $W_2 = W_1 \rtimes W_0$. The group H is normalized by W_2 , with $W_2 \cap H = W_1 \cap H = \langle c_1^2, \dots, c_n^2 \rangle$. The Weyl group of Sp is

$$W = W_2 H / H \cong W_2 / W_2 \cap H \cong W_1 \rtimes W_0 / W_1 \cap H \cong (W_1 / W_1 \cap H) \rtimes W_0.$$

It is the split extension of $C_2^n \cong \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle / \langle c_1^2, \dots, c_n^2 \rangle$ by $S_n \cong \langle w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} \rangle$. Here the symmetric group S_n acts naturally on C_2^n by permuting the n copies of C_2 .

Set $\mathcal{F} = \{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, c_n\}$. Given $w \in W_2$, write $\ell(w) = \ell(wH)$ for the length of the shortest word in the letters $w_1H, \dots, w_{n-1}H, c_nH$ which is equal to wH in the Weyl group W . The largest value of ℓ is attained by $w_0 = c_1 \cdots c_n$, the element of Sp defined by

$$w_0(u_i) = v_i, \quad w_0(v_i) = -u_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Observe that $\ell(w_0) = n^2$.

For $w \in W_2$, set $U_w^+ = w^{-1}Uw \cap U$, the group of all $u \in U$ whose conjugates wuw^{-1} remain in U . Let U_w^- be the the group of all $u \in U$ whose conjugates wuw^{-1} belong to $w_0Uw_0^{-1}$. One has $\text{Sp} = U_w^+U_w^-$ with $U_w^+ \cap U_w^- = 1$. Furthermore, $|U_w^-| = q^{\ell(w)}$, $|U_w^+| = q^{n^2 - \ell(w)}$ and $|U| = q^{n^2}$.

Root subgroups. Set $\mathcal{I} = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n, i \leq n\}$. Let $(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}$ and take $\alpha \in F_q$. If $j \leq n$ define $x_{i,j}(\alpha)$ to be the element of T whose matrix has the form (2), where $A_{i,j} = \alpha$, $A_{kk} = 1$ for all k , and all other entries of A are equal to zero. If $j > n$ define $x_{i,j}(\alpha)$ to be the element of Sp^M whose matrix has the form (1), where $S_{i,j-n} = S_{j-n,i} = \alpha$, and all other entries of S are equal to zero. For a fixed $(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}$ write $X_{i,j}$ for the group of all $x_{i,j}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in F_q$. This is the root subgroup corresponding to (i, j) . The fundamental root subgroups are $X_{(i,i+1)}$, for $1 \leq i < n$, and $X_{(n,2n)}$. Observe that $X_{(i,i+1)} = U_{w_i}^-$ and $X_{(n,2n)} = U_{c_n}^-$.

Symplectic transvections. Given u in V and $\alpha \in F_q$, let $\rho_{u,\alpha} \in \text{Sp}$ be the symplectic transformation defined by

$$\rho_{u,\alpha}(v) = v + \alpha \langle u, v \rangle u, \quad v \in V.$$

The center of Sp . A symplectic transformation commuting with all symplectic transvections is necessarily a scalar operator. Thus the center $Z(\text{Sp})$ of Sp is equal to $\{1, -1\}$ if p is odd, and is trivial otherwise.

Conjugation. For group elements g and h we write ${}^h g = hgh^{-1}$ and $g^h = h^{-1}gh$.

3 A representation of Sp_M

We fix throughout a field F of characteristic $l \neq p$ possessing a non-trivial p -th root of unity. We also fix a non-trivial linear character $\lambda : F_q^+ \rightarrow F^*$.

For $v \in V$, let $\chi_v : \text{Sp} \rightarrow F^*$ be the function defined by

$$\chi_v(g) = \lambda(\langle gv, v \rangle), \quad g \in \text{Sp}. \quad (3)$$

We shall use the same notation for χ_v and its restriction to various subgroups. Context will dictate what subgroup is being used at a given time.

Given v in N , let S_v be the group of all g in Sp_M satisfying $gv \equiv v \pmod{M}$. From the matrix representation (1) of Sp^M we see that $\text{Sp}^M \subset S_v$. In fact, $S_v = \text{Sp}^M \rtimes (\text{Sp}_{M,N})_v$, where $(\text{Sp}_{M,N})_v$ is the pointwise stabilizer of v in $\text{Sp}_{M,N}$.

3.1 Lemma Let $v \in N$. Then $\chi_v : S_v \rightarrow F^*$ is a linear character of S_v .

Proof. Let g and h be elements of S_v . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ghv, v \rangle &= \langle g(hv - v + v), v \rangle \\ &= \langle g(hv - v), v \rangle + \langle gv, v \rangle \\ &= \langle hv - v, g^{-1}v \rangle + \langle gv, v \rangle \\ &= \langle hv - v, g^{-1}v - v + v \rangle + \langle gv, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As $h, g^{-1} \in S_v$, we have $hv - v \in M$ and $g^{-1}v - v \in M$, whence $\langle hv - v, g^{-1}v - v \rangle = 0$.

It follows that

$$\langle ghv, v \rangle = \langle hv - v, v \rangle + \langle gv, v \rangle = \langle hv, v \rangle + \langle gv, v \rangle.$$

□

For $v \in N$, let $\widehat{S}_v = S_v \times Z(\text{Sp})$, the group of all g in Sp_M satisfying $gv \equiv \pm v \pmod{M}$. We shall denote by $\chi_v^\pm$ the group homomorphism $\widehat{S}_v \rightarrow F^*$ that agrees with χ_v on S_v and maps $-1 \in Z(\text{Sp})$ to $\pm 1 \in F^*$.

Since Sp^M is contained in S_v for all $v \in N$, we may view χ_v as a linear character of Sp^M . A fundamental property is the following.

3.2 Lemma Let $v, w \in N$. Then

$$\chi_v = \chi_w \text{ on } \text{Sp}^M \Leftrightarrow v = \pm w.$$

Proof. One implication follows directly from (3). Suppose conversely that

$$\lambda(\langle gv, v \rangle) = \lambda(\langle gw, w \rangle) \quad (4)$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$. Fix any $u \in V$. We apply (4) to $g = \rho_{u, \alpha}$ obtaining

$$\lambda(\alpha(\langle u, v \rangle^2 - \langle u, w \rangle^2)) = 1$$

for all $\alpha \in F_q$. As λ is non-trivial, it follows that

$$\langle u, v \rangle^2 = \langle u, w \rangle^2 \quad (5)$$

for all $u \in V$. We infer that the linear maps $\langle -, v \rangle$ and $\langle -, w \rangle$ have the same kernel. Choose $u \in V$ satisfying $\langle u, v \rangle = 1$. Then (5) yields $\langle u, v \rangle = \pm 1$. We conclude that $\langle -, v \rangle = \langle -, w \rangle$ or $\langle -, v \rangle = \langle -, -w \rangle$, that is $v = w$ or $v = -w$, as claimed. $\square$

In what follows it will sometimes be convenient to think of the matrix of an arbitrary $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M, N}$ as having the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} {}^t A^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A \end{array} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. In this case $g \in T$ whenever A is lower triangular and has 1's on the main diagonal. In particular, $gv_n = v_n$ for all $g \in T$. It follows that U is contained in $S_{\alpha v_n}$ for all $\alpha \in F_q$, a fact to be used repeatedly below.

3.3 Lemma Let $\alpha \in F_q^*$. Then the linear character $\chi_{\alpha v_n}$ of U is trivial on $U_{c_n}^+$ and non-trivial on $U_{c_n}^-$.

Proof. We have $U_{c_n}^+ = (\mathrm{Sp}^M)_0 \rtimes T$, where $(\mathrm{Sp}^M)_0$ is the subgroup of Sp^M of all g whose matrix has the form (1) with $S_{nn} = 0$. If $g \in T$ then $gv_n = v_n$. Therefore (3) yields $T \subset \ker \chi_{\alpha v_n}$. If $g \in (\mathrm{Sp}^M)_0$ then $gv_n - v_n \in \mathrm{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$. As v_n is orthogonal to itself and $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$, (3) yields $(\mathrm{Sp}^M)_0 \subset \ker \chi_{\alpha v_n}$, as well. This proves the first assertion. As for the second, note that $U_{c_n}^-$ is the group of all $x_{n, 2n}(\beta) = \rho_{u_n, \beta}$, $\beta \in F_q$. Now

$$\chi_{\alpha v_n}(\rho_{u_n, \beta}) = \lambda(\langle \alpha v_n + \beta \langle u_n, \alpha v_n \rangle u_n, \alpha v_n \rangle) = \lambda(\beta \alpha^2)$$

for all $\beta \in F_q$. As λ is non-trivial, $\chi_{\alpha v_n}$ is non-trivial on $U_{c_n}^-$. $\square$

Since the fundamental root subgroups $X_{i,i+1}$ are contained in $U_{c_n}^+$ we obtain the following result.

3.4 Corollary The linear character $\chi_{\alpha v_n}$, $\alpha \in F_q^*$, is non-trivial in exactly one fundamental root subgroup, namely $X_{(n,2n)} = U_{c_n}^-$.

3.5 Lemma Let $v \in V$ and $g_0 \in \text{Sp}$. Then

$$\chi_v(g^{g_0}) = \chi_{g_0 v}(g), \quad g \in \text{Sp}.$$

Proof. Since g_0 preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, we have

$$\chi_v(g^{g_0}) = \lambda(\langle g_0^{-1} g g_0 v, v \rangle) = \lambda(\langle g g_0 v, g_0 v \rangle) = \chi_{g_0 v}(g), \quad g \in \text{Sp}.$$

□

Let $X = X_\lambda$ be a vector space over F possessing a basis $(E_v)_{v \in N}$ indexed by N .

3.6 Proposition The function $R : \text{Sp}_M \rightarrow \text{GL}(X)$ given by

$$R(sa)E_v = \lambda(\langle sav, av \rangle)E_{av} = \chi_{av}(s)E_{av}, \quad v \in N, s \in \text{Sp}^M, a \in \text{Sp}_{M,N} \quad (7)$$

defines an F -representation of Sp_M .

Proof. Suppose $s_1, s_2 \in \text{Sp}^M$, $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Sp}_{M,N}$, and $v \in N$. Then

$$R(s_1 a_1 s_2 a_2)E_v = R(s_1({}^{a_1} s_2) a_1 a_2)E_v = \chi_{a_1 a_2 v}(s_1({}^{a_1} s_2))E_{a_1 a_2 v}.$$

Since $\chi_{a_1 a_2 v}$ is a linear character of Sp^M

$$\chi_{a_1 a_2 v}(s_1({}^{a_1} s_2)) = \chi_{a_1 a_2 v}(s_1) \chi_{a_1 a_2 v}({}^{a_1} s_2).$$

By Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\chi_{a_1 a_2 v}({}^{a_1} s_2) = \chi_{a_1 a_2 v}(s_2^{a_1^{-1}}) = \chi_{a_2 v}(s_2).$$

On the other hand

$$R(s_1 a_1)R(s_2 a_2)E_v = R(s_1 a_1) \chi_{a_2 v}(s_2)E_{a_2 v} = \chi_{a_1 a_2 v}(s_1) \chi_{a_2 v}(s_2)E_{a_1 a_2 v}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

We determine the irreducible constituents of this representation, depending on whether p is even or odd. Suppose first that p is odd. For $v \in N \setminus \{0\}$, set $E^+ = E_v + E_{-v}$ and $E_v^- = E_v - E_{-v}$. We also write $X^+ = X_\lambda^+$ for the span of the E_v^+ , and let $X^- = X_\lambda^-$ be the span of the E_v^- . When $p = 2$ we set $E_v^+ = E_v^- = E_v$ for all $v \in N \setminus \{0\}$, and let $X^+ = X_\lambda^+ = X^- = X_\lambda^-$ be the span of the E_v , $v \neq 0$.

Formula (7) ensures that $X^\pm$ is an $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -module. Its dimension is $(q^n - 1)/2$ for p odd and $q^n - 1$ for $p = 2$. We write X_0 for the Sp_M -fixed points of X , that is $X_0 = FE_0$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in F_q^*$, consider the following F -subspace of $X^\pm$:

$$X_{\alpha,i}^\pm = \mathrm{span}\{E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha_{i+1}v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n v_n} \mid \alpha_i \in F_q\}.$$

3.7 Theorem $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$ is an absolutely irreducible FU -submodule of $X^\pm$ of dimension q^{n-i} . Furthermore, if w is the element of W_0 defined by

$$w = (u_i, u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_{i+1})(v_i, v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{i+1})$$

then

$$X_{\alpha,i}^+ \cong \mathrm{ind}_{U_w^+}^U FE_{\alpha v_i}^+ \cong \mathrm{ind}_{U_w^+}^U FE_{\alpha v_i}^- \cong X_{\alpha,i}^-,$$

where $FE_{\alpha v_i}^\pm$ affords the linear character $\chi_{\alpha v_i}$ of U_w^+ .

Proof. Let $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha_{i+1}v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n v_n}$ be a typical basis vector of $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$, and let $g \in U$. Then $g = sa$, where the matrix of a has the form (6) with A lower triangular with 1's on the main diagonal, and $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$.

We see from (7) how s and a act on $X^\pm$. Indeed, $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha_{i+1}v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n v_n}$ is an eigenvector for s acting on $X^\pm$, and the above matrix description of a ensures that $aE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha_{i+1}v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n v_n}$ belongs to $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$. It follows that g preserves $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$, which is then an FU -module. It is clear that $\dim X_{\alpha,i}^\pm = q^{n-i}$.

Since $\mathrm{char} F = l$ is coprime to $|U| = q^{n^2}$, the FU -module $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$ is completely reducible. We proceed to show that the commuting ring of $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$ is comprised entirely by scalar operators. This implies that $X_{\alpha,i}^\pm$ is absolutely irreducible.

Let C be an F -endomorphism of $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i}$ commuting with the action of U . The group U_w^- consists of all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$ whose matrix has the form (6), where A is a lower triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal and all columns different from column i have zero entries below the diagonal. Let us write $0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \beta_{i+1}, \dots, \beta_n$ for the entries in the i -th column of such matrix A . Here 1 is in the i -th position. Then

$$gE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i} = E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}.$$

It follows that U_w^- acts transitively on the basis vectors $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}$ of $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i}$. Since the actions of C and U_w^- on these vectors commute, we infer that C acts diagonally on them.

Given two different basis vectors $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}$ and $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n v_n}$, we have

$$\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n \neq \pm(\alpha v_i + \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n v_n).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that for some $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ one has

$$\chi_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}(s) \neq \chi_{\alpha v_i + \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n v_n}(s).$$

Since the diagonal actions of Sp^M and C on the basis vectors $E^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}$ commute, we deduce that C must be a scalar operator, as claimed.

From (7) we see that $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i}$ affords a monomial representation of FU . The stabilizer of $FE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i}$ is the group of all $g \in U$ such that $g = sa$, $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$, $a \in T$, and the i -th column of A in the matrix representation (6) of a is equal to the i -th column of the identity matrix. Therefore, the stabilizer of $FE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i}$ is equal to U_w^+ . As U_w^- is a left transversal for U_w^+ in U acting transitively on the one dimensional subspaces $FE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i + \alpha \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha \beta_n v_n}$, it follows that $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i} \cong \mathrm{ind}_{U_w^+}^U FE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i}$. Furthermore, U_w^+ acts on $FE^{\pm}_{\alpha v_i}$ by means of the linear character $\chi_{\alpha v_i}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3.8 Theorem For a fixed i , if $\alpha, \beta \in F_q^*$ satisfy $\{\alpha, -\alpha\} \neq \{\beta, -\beta\}$ then the FU -modules $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i}$ and $X^{\pm}_{\beta,i}$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. The linear character $\chi_{\alpha v_i}$ of Sp^M enters the restriction of $X^{\pm}_{\alpha,i}$ to Sp^M . The linear

characters entering the restriction of $X_{\beta,i}^{\pm}$ to Sp^M are of the form $\chi_{\beta v_i + \beta_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + \beta_n v_n}$. If $\{\alpha, -\alpha\} \neq \{\beta, -\beta\}$ then none of them is $X_{\alpha,i}^{\pm}$ by Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Note that for $h \in H$ we have

$$hX_{\alpha,i}^{\pm} = X_{h_i\alpha,i}^{\pm}, \quad (8)$$

where $hv_i = h_i v_i$. We shall henceforth denote by $\mathcal{T}_q$ a transversal for the action of $\{\pm 1\}$ on F_q^* . Thus $|\mathcal{T}_q| = (q-1)/2$ if p is odd, and $|\mathcal{T}_q| = q-1$ if $p = 2$. Observe that $X_{\alpha,i}^{\pm} = X_{-\alpha,i}^{\pm}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q$.

3.9 Theorem For a fixed i , the direct sum $X_i^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} X_{\alpha,i}^{\pm}$ is an absolutely irreducible FB -module.

Proof. Let Z be an FB -submodule of $X_i^{\pm}$. Then Z is an FU -submodule of $X_i^{\pm}$. It follows from Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 that Z must be the direct sum of some $X_{\alpha,i}^{\pm}$. Hence Z is all of $X_i^{\pm}$ by (8). As this argument works for F or any extension thereof, $X_{\alpha,i}^{\pm}$ is absolutely irreducible. $\square$

3.10 Theorem The $X_i^{\pm}$ are not isomorphic FB -modules.

Proof. Observe that the dimension of $X_i^{\pm}$ is equal to $(q-1)q^{n-i}/2$ if p is odd and $(q-1)q^{n-i}$ if $p = 2$. The result thus follows. $\square$

The following relation holds for all $w \in W_0$:

$$wE_v = E_{w(v)}, \quad v \in N. \quad (9)$$

3.11 Theorem $X^{\pm}$ is an absolutely irreducible Sp_M -module. Moreover,

$$X^{\pm} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widehat{S}_{v_n}}^{\mathrm{Sp}_M} FE_{v_n}^{\pm},$$

where $\widehat{S}_{v_n}$ acts on the one-dimensional subspace $FE_{v_n}^{\pm}$ by means of $\chi_{v_n}^{\pm}$.

Proof. Let Z be an $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -submodule of $X^{\pm}$. Then Z is an FB -submodule of $X^{\pm}$. It follows from Theorems 3.9 and 3.10 that Z must be the direct sum of some $X_i^{\pm}$. Hence at least one $E_{v_i}^{\pm}$ belongs to Z . Therefore all $E_{v_j}^{\pm}$ belong to Z , by applying (9) with all

w_k . As the $E^{\pm}_{v_j}$ generate $X^{\pm}_j$ as an FB -submodule, and the sum of all $X^{\pm}_j$ equals $X^{\pm}$, it follows that $Z = X^{\pm}$. Again, as this argument works for F or any extension thereof, $X^{\pm}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Now $X^{\pm}$ affords a monomial representation of Sp_M ; the stabilizer of $FE^{\pm}_{v_n}$ is equal to $\widehat{S}_{v_n}$; the linear character of $\widehat{S}_{v_n}$ afforded by $FE^{\pm}_{v_n}$ is equal to $\chi_{v_n}^{\pm}$; the index of $S_{v_n}^+$ in Sp_M is equal to the number of representatives of non-zero vectors in N modulo the action of $\{\pm 1\}$. This is also the number of one-dimensional subspaces $FE^{\pm}_v$ permuted by Sp_M . This shows that $X^{\pm} \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widehat{S}_{v_n}}^{\mathrm{Sp}_M} FE^{\pm}_{v_n}$, and completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

If $l \neq 2$ we then have

$$X = X_0 \oplus X^+ \oplus X^-.$$

This is a decomposition of X into non-isomorphic irreducible Sp_M -modules. If $l = 2$ then $X^+ = X^-$, which is isomorphic to the Sp_M -module $X/(X^+ \oplus X_0)$ via the isomorphism $E_v^+ \mapsto E_v + (X^+ \oplus X_0)$.

Given $\kappa \in F_q^*$, consider the non-trivial linear character $\lambda[\kappa]$ of F_q^+ defined by

$$\lambda[\kappa](\alpha) = \lambda(\kappa\alpha), \quad \alpha \in F_q.$$

By varying κ we obtain all non-trivial linear characters of F_q^+ .

3.12 Theorem Let $\kappa \in F_q^*$. Then

$$X^{\pm}_{\lambda} \cong X^{\pm}_{\lambda[\kappa]} \text{ as } F\mathrm{Sp}_M\text{-modules} \Leftrightarrow \kappa \text{ is a square.}$$

Proof. Suppose that $X^{\pm}_{\lambda}$ and $X^{\pm}_{\lambda[\kappa]}$ are isomorphic as $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -modules. The linear characters entering the restriction of $X^{\pm}_{\lambda}$ to Sp^M are of the form

$$g \mapsto \lambda(\langle gv, v \rangle),$$

where $0 \neq v \in N$. On the other hand, the linear characters entering the restriction of $X^{\pm}_{\lambda[\kappa]}$ to Sp^M are of the form

$$g \mapsto \lambda(\kappa \langle gw, w \rangle).$$

where $0 \neq w \in N$. From the given hypothesis, it follows that for some v, w non-zero

$$\lambda(\langle gv, v \rangle) = \lambda(\kappa \langle gw, w \rangle)$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$. Reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we see that

$$\langle u, v \rangle^2 = \kappa \langle u, w \rangle^2$$

for all $u \in M$. Choosing u so that $\langle u, v \rangle = 1$ we infer that $1 = \kappa \langle u, w \rangle^2$, that is, κ is a square.

Suppose conversely that $\kappa = \tau^2$. Consider the F -isomorphism, say f , from X_λ to $X_{\lambda[\kappa]}$ defined by

$$f(E_v) = E_{\tau^{-1}v}.$$

Then given any $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ and any $a \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ we have

$$f(saE_v) = f(\lambda(\langle sav, av \rangle)E_{av}) = \lambda(\langle sav, av \rangle)E_{\tau^{-1}av}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} saf(E_v) &= saE_{\tau^{-1}v} = \lambda(\kappa \langle sa\tau^{-1}v, a\tau^{-1}v \rangle)E_{a\tau^{-1}v} = \lambda(\kappa \tau^{-1} \tau^{-1} \langle sav, av \rangle)E_{\tau^{-1}av} \\ &= \lambda(\langle sav, av \rangle)E_{\tau^{-1}av}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that f is an isomorphism of $F\mathrm{Sp}^M$ -modules.

Now $X^+ \oplus X_0$ is the 1-eigenspace of $-1 \in Z(\mathrm{Sp})$ acting on X , and X_0 is the fixed points of Sp_M . If $l \neq 2$ then X^- is the -1 -eigenspace of $-1 \in Z(\mathrm{Sp})$ acting on X . Whether $l = 2$ or not, we infer that when f preserves $X^\pm$, whence $X^\pm_\lambda \cong X^\pm_{\lambda[\kappa]}$. $\square$

4 The Weil representation of Sp

We assume in this section that p is odd, and rely on [Sz] as a general reference. Let $Y = Y_\lambda$ be an F -vector space having a basis $(\epsilon_v)_{v \in N}$ indexed by N . Let H_0 the group whose underlying set is $F_q \times V$, with multiplication

$$(\alpha, v)(\beta, w) = (\alpha + \beta + \langle v, w \rangle, v + w), \quad \alpha, \beta \in F_q, \quad v, w \in V.$$

The function $J : H_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(Y)$ given by

$$J(\alpha, u + v)\epsilon_w = \lambda(\alpha + \langle u, v + 2w \rangle)\epsilon_{w+v}, \quad u \in M, v, w \in N, \alpha \in F_q$$

defines an absolutely irreducible F -representation of H_0 . The symplectic group acts on H_0 via the second coordinate: ${}^g(\alpha, v) = (\alpha, gv)$ for $g \in \mathrm{Sp}$, $\alpha \in F_q$, $v \in V$. For $g \in \mathrm{Sp}$, the conjugate representation J^g is similar to J . Moreover, there is one, and only one (except when $(n, q) = (1, 3)$ when there are three), representation $P : \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(Y)$ satisfying:

$$P(g)J(h)P(g)^{-1} = J({}^gh), \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}, h \in H_0.$$

We refer to P as the Weyl representation of Sp over F of type λ (when $(n, q) = (1, 3)$ the Weil representation is the one given below). Consider the linear character $\theta : \mathrm{Sp}_M \rightarrow F^*$ defined as follows for $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ and $a \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$:

$$\theta(sa) = \theta(a) = \left(\frac{\det a|_N}{q} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \det a|_N \in F_q^{*2}, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Weil representation can be defined on the basis vectors ϵ_v of Y by means of:

$$P(sa)\epsilon_v = \theta(sa)^n \chi_{av}(s) \epsilon_{av}, \quad s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M, a \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}, \quad (10)$$

$$P(\rho_{v_n, -1})\epsilon_v = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \right)^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v+\alpha v_n}. \quad (11)$$

We remark that [Sz] defines the Weil representation over a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing a non-trivial p -th root of unity. With slight modification of the arguments, one can see that (10)-(11) hold when $\mathbf{Q}$ is replaced by any field of characteristic different from p . Particular care needs to be taken in the characteristic 2 case.

Having into account that Sp_M is a maximal subgroup of Sp and that $\rho_{v_n, -1}$ is not in Sp_M , the above formulae suffice to determine P . While explicit formulae exist for other $P(g)$, $g \notin \mathrm{Sp}_M$, the above description of $P(\rho_{v_n, -1})$ will be enough for our purposes.

For $v \in N \setminus \{0\}$, set $\epsilon_v^\pm = \epsilon_v \pm \epsilon_{-v}$. Write $Y_0 = F\epsilon_0$, let $Y^- = Y_\lambda^-$ be the span of the ϵ_v^- , and let $Y^+ = Y_\lambda^+$ be the span of the ϵ_v^+ . Set $G(\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2)$.

From (11) we get

$$\rho_{v_n, -1}\epsilon_0 = G(\lambda)^{-1}(\epsilon_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+), \quad (12)$$

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_v^+ = G(\lambda)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v+\alpha v_n}^+ \right), \quad v \in N, v \notin F_q v_n, \quad (13)$$

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_{\beta v}^+ = G(\lambda)^{-1} (2\lambda(\beta^2) \epsilon_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda((\alpha + \beta)^2) + \lambda((\alpha - \beta)^2)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+), \quad \beta \in \mathcal{T}_q. \quad (14)$$

We are particularly interested in the case when $l = 2$. In this case $G(\lambda) = 1$ because $\lambda(\alpha^2) + \lambda((- \alpha)^2) = 2\lambda(\alpha^2) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q$, leaving only the term $\lambda(0) = 1$. Thus, the above equations simplify as follows when $l = 2$:

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+, \quad (15)$$

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_v^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v+\alpha v_n}^+, \quad v \in N, v \notin F_q v_n, \quad (16)$$

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_{\beta v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda((\alpha + \beta)^2) + \lambda((\alpha - \beta)^2)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{T}_q. \quad (17)$$

We also note that θ is trivial when $l = 2$. In this case (10) yields

$$sa \epsilon_v^+ = \chi_{av}(s) \epsilon_{av}^+, \quad s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M, a \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}. \quad (18)$$

4.1 Theorem If $l \neq 2$ then $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ and Y^- are absolutely irreducible $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -modules. If $l = 2$ then $Y^+ = Y^-$ is an absolutely irreducible $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module and $Y/Y^+ \cong Y^+ \oplus Fy$, where y is fixed by Sp . In any case, $Y^\pm \cong \theta^n X^\pm$ as $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -modules. If $l = 2$ then actually $Y^+ = Y^- \cong_{\mathrm{Sp}_M} X^- = X^+$.

Proof. The last two assertions follow by comparing (7) with (10), and having into account that θ is trivial when $l = 2$.

Suppose next that $l \neq 2$. Then Y^- and $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ are eigenspaces for $-1 \in Z(\mathrm{Sp})$ acting on Y , and are therefore Sp -stable. As the restriction of Y^- to Sp_M , namely $\theta^n X^-$, is absolutely irreducible, so must be Y^- . Now $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ is a decomposition into non-isomorphic irreducible Sp_M -modules. From (12) we see that Y_0 is not Sp -stable. By means of (14), and using $l \neq 2$, we deduce that Y^+ is not Sp -stable either. It follows that $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ is an irreducible Sp -module. As this argument works for F or any extension thereof, $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ is absolutely irreducible.

Suppose next that $l = 2$. Then $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$ is still an eigenspace for $-1 \in Z(\mathrm{Sp})$ and hence Sp -stable, while $Y^- = Y^+$. From (16) and (17) we see that now Y^+ is Sp -stable. Since $Y^+ \cong_{\mathrm{Sp}_M} X^+$, the $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module Y^+ is absolutely irreducible. Consider the F -isomorphism $Y/Y^+ \rightarrow Y^+ \oplus Fy$ given by

$$\epsilon_0 + Y^+ \rightarrow y, \quad \epsilon_v + Y^+ \rightarrow \epsilon_v^+, v \neq 0.$$

Using (15)-(18) we see that the action of Sp is preserved. This completes the proof. $\square$

We refer to Y^- (resp. $Y^+ \oplus Y_0$) as the Weil module of Sp over F of type λ and degree $(q^n - 1)/2$ (resp. $(q^n + 1)/2$). As our arguments are valid for any field of characteristic $l \neq p$ containing a non-trivial p -th root of unity, the above easily yields the following result.

4.2 Theorem If $l \neq 2, p$ then the l -modular reduction of the complex Weil modules of Sp of type λ and degrees $(q^n - 1)/2$ and $(q^n + 1)/2$ remain irreducible. The 2-modular reduction of the complex Weil module of Sp of type λ and degree $(q^n - 1)/2$ remains irreducible, and is a constituent of that of degree $(q^n + 1)/2$. The other constituent is the trivial module.

In regards to the number of different types of Weil modules we have the following.

4.3 Theorem There are exactly two isomorphism types of Weil modules of Sp over F of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$ (resp. $(q^n + 1)/2$).

Proof. If $\kappa \in F_q^*$ is a square then the types λ and $\lambda[\kappa]$ are isomorphic. Indeed, the isomorphism f used in the proof of Theorem 3.12 is easily seen to preserve the action of $\rho_{v_n, -1}$. Conversely, by restricting to Sp_M and applying Theorem 3.12, we see that if the types λ and $\lambda[\kappa]$ are isomorphic then $\kappa \in F_q^*$ must be a square. $\square$

For future reference we record the following results.

4.4 Lemma Suppose $l = 2$. Then

$$c_n \epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+.$$

Proof. Observe the identity

$$c_n = \rho_{-1, u_n} \rho_{-1, v_n} \rho_{-1, u_n}. \quad (19)$$

As $\rho_{-1, u_n} \in \text{Sp}^M$, formula (18) yields

$$\rho_{-1, u_n} \epsilon_v^+ = \lambda(-\alpha_n^2) \epsilon_v^+, \quad v = \alpha_1 y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n. \quad (20)$$

By (17) we also have

$$\rho_{v_n, -1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda((\alpha + 1)^2) + \lambda((\alpha - 1)^2)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_n \epsilon_{v_n}^+ &= \rho_{-1, u_n} \rho_{-1, v_n} \rho_{-1, u_n} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ \\ &= \rho_{-1, u_n} \rho_{-1, v_n} \lambda(-1) \epsilon_{v_n}^+ \\ &= \lambda(-1) \rho_{-1, u_n} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda((\alpha + 1)^2) + \lambda((\alpha - 1)^2)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+ \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda((\alpha + 1)^2) + \lambda((\alpha - 1)^2)) \lambda(-1 - \alpha^2) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+ \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(2\alpha) + \lambda(-2\alpha)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.5 Lemma Suppose $l = 2$. Then

$$c_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}^+.$$

Proof. From (16) we have

$$\rho_{-1, v_n} \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+.$$

Making use of (19) and (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ &= \rho_{-1, u_n} \rho_{-1, v_n} \rho_{-1, u_n} \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ \\
&= \rho_{-1, u_n} \rho_{-1, v_n} \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ \\
&= \rho_{-1, u_n} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+ \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(\alpha^2) \lambda(-\alpha^2) \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+ \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_{n-1} = w_{n-1} c_n w_{n-1}$, the above yields

$$c_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ = w_{n-1} c_n w_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ = w_{n-1} c_n \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ = w_{n-1} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}^+.$$

□

5 The Steinberg representation of Sp restricted to Sp_M

For an element w of the Weyl group W of Sp , let n_w be an element of W_2 satisfying $n_w H = w$. Consider the element

$$\bar{e} = \sum_{b \in B} b \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} n_w$$

of the symplectic group algebra $F\mathrm{Sp}$. The right ideal $\bar{I} = \bar{e}F\mathrm{Sp}$ is a right $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module, considered by Steinberg in [St]. So far we have dealt exclusively with left modules. We wish to adhere to this convention with Steinberg's representation as well. For this purpose, consider the involution $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ of $F\mathrm{Sp}$ that fixes all scalars and inverts all symplectic transformations. Set

$$e = \left(\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} n_w \right) \sum_{b \in B} b,$$

and let $I = F\mathrm{Sp} \cdot e$. Then I is naturally a left $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module. Note that $\bar{I}$ is also a left $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module under the rule

$$g \cdot (\bar{e}\bar{x}) = (\bar{e}\bar{x})\bar{g}, \quad x \in F\mathrm{Sp}, g \in \mathrm{Sp}.$$

Furthermore, I and $\bar{I}$ are isomorphic as left modules, via the isomorphism $xe = \bar{e}\bar{x}$, $x \in F\text{Sp}$.

We shall henceforth work with e and I , and refer to the latter as the (left) Steinberg module for Sp over F . Our general reference for this section is [St].

An F -basis for I is given by the $|U|$ -elements ue , $u \in U$. Thus U affords the regular representation of U . The derived quotient U/U' is isomorphic to the direct product of the n fundamental root subgroups, and it is therefore an elementary abelian p -group of order q^n . It follows that F affords all linear characters of U . We infer that, given a linear character σ of U , there is a unique -up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar- element e_σ in I upon which U acts via σ . We may take

$$e_\sigma = \sum_{u \in U} \sigma(u)^{-1} ue.$$

Then

$$ue_\sigma = \sigma(u)e_\sigma, \quad u \in U. \quad (21)$$

Let $w(i)$ be the element of Sp that preserves the given symplectic basis, and satisfies:

$$w(i) = (u_n, u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{n-1})(v_n, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in F_q^*$, write $I_{\alpha,i}$ for the FU -module generated by $w(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$.

5.1 Theorem For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in F_q^*$ we have

$$I_{\alpha,i} \cong_{FU} X_{\alpha,i}^+.$$

Proof. Observe first that the element $w(i)$ just defined is the inverse of the element w , as defined in Theorem 3.7.

Next note that for $u \in U_w^+$ we have

$$uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(i)w(i)^{-1}uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(i)wuw^{-1}e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}.$$

If $u \in U_w^+$ then ${}^w u \in U$, so (21) applies, yielding

$$uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha v_n}({}^w u)w(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha v_n}(u^{w(i)})w(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} \in I_{\alpha,i}. \quad (22)$$

Since $U = U_w^+ U_w^-$, it follows that $I_{\alpha,i}$ is generated by the elements $uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, as u runs through U_w^- . We proceed to show that these elements are linearly independent.

Let $s \in \text{Sp}^M$. For $u \in U_w^-$ we have

$$suw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = uu^{-1}suw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}},$$

where $s^u \in \text{Sp}^M \subset U_w^+$. Hence by (22) and Lemma 3.5

$$suw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = u\chi_{\alpha v_n}(s^{uw(i)})w(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha uv_i}(s)uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}.$$

Thus Sp^M acts on $uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, $u \in U_w^-$, via the linear character $\chi_{\alpha uv_i}$. We contend these linear characters are all different. Indeed, as noted in the proof of Theorem 3.7, the group U_w^- consists of all $u \in U$ whose matrix has the form (6), where A is a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal, and all columns of A different from column i have zero entries below the diagonal. It follows from this matrix description that $u \in U_w^-$ is completely determined by what it does to v_i . In particular, we see that $u \neq v$ in U_w^- implies $\alpha uv_i \neq \pm \alpha vv_i$. We deduce from Lemma 3.2 that $\chi_{\alpha uv_i}$ and $\chi_{\alpha vv_i}$ are different linear characters of Sp^M for $u \neq v \in U_w^-$. All in all, we get that the $uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, $u \in U_w^-$, must be linearly independent. As the also generate $I_{\alpha,i}$, the form a basis of $I_{\alpha,i}$.

The preceding discussion shows that $I_{\alpha,i}$ affords a monomial representation of U ; the stabilizer of $Fw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ is U_w^+ ; $I_{\alpha,i}$ is the direct sum of left translates of $Fw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ by elements of U_w^- , which is a transversal for U_w^+ in U . We conclude $I_{\alpha,i} \cong \text{ind}_{U_w^+}^U Fw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ as FU -modules. By (22) and Lemma 3.5 $Fw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ affords the linear character χ_{α, v_i} of U_w^+ . The result now follows from Theorem 3.7. $\square$

We next observe

$$he = e, \quad h \in H.$$

As H normalizes U , for each linear character σ of U we may consider the linear character ${}^h\sigma$ of U , defined by ${}^h\sigma(u) = \sigma(u^h)$, $u \in U$. Thus, for all linear characters σ of U and all $h \in H$

$$he_\sigma = h \sum_{u \in U} \sigma(u)^{-1} ue = \sum_{u \in U} \sigma(u)^{-1} ({}^hu) he = \sum_{u \in U} \sigma(u^h)^{-1} ue = e_{{}^h\sigma}. \quad (23)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, recall the element $w(i)$ defined prior to Theorem 5.1. Let $h \in H$. As W_2 normalizes H we have $h^{w(i)} \in H$. Since v_i is an eigenvector for h , we may write $hv_i = h_i v_i$ for some $h_i \in F_q^*$. From Lemma 3.5 we obtain the formula

$$h^{w(i)} \chi_{\alpha v_n} = \chi_{\alpha h_i v_n}. \quad (24)$$

From (23) and (24) we see that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $h \in H$, and $\alpha \in F_q^*$

$$hw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(i)h^{w(i)}e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha h_i v_n}}. \quad (25)$$

It follows that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $h \in H$ and $\alpha \in F_q^*$

$$hI_{\alpha, i} = I_{h_i \alpha, i}. \quad (26)$$

Now $\chi_{\alpha v_n} = \chi_{-\alpha, v_n}$, whence $I_{\alpha, i} = I_{-\alpha, i}$ for all $\alpha \in F_q^*$. As the FU -modules $I_{\alpha, i}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q$, are irreducible and non-isomorphic (cf. Theorems 3.7, 3.8 and 5.1), they are in direct sum within I . Set $I_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} I_{\alpha, i}$. From (26) we see that I_i is an FB -module, which is clearly isomorphic to X_i^+ .

In order to prove the next result we shall use, for the first time in the paper, a beautiful identity due Steinberg, namely formula (16) of [St].

5.2 Theorem Let $w \in \{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$ and $v \in N$. Suppose $U_w^+ \subset S_v$. Then

$$w\left(\sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u)^{-1} uu'e\right) = \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} uu'e - (q+1) \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} ue.$$

Proof. We have

$$w\left(\sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u)^{-1} uu'e\right) = \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi(u)^{-1} ({}^w u) w u' e.$$

Since w has order 2, it normalizes U_w^+ . Thus, it follows from $U_w^+ \subset S_v$ that $U_w^+ \subset S_{w(v)}$. All in all, $u \mapsto \chi_v({}^w u)$ is a linear character of U_w^+ , which by Lemma 3.5 must be equal to

$\chi_{w(v)}$. We may thus write

$$\begin{aligned}
w\left(\sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u)^{-1} uu'e\right) &= \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u)^{-1} ({}^w u) w u' e \\
&= \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u^w)^{-1} u w u' e \\
&= \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u w u' e.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $w e = -e$, to $u' = 1$ there corresponds the summand

$$- \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u e.$$

As $U_w^- = X_{(i,i+1)}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we may write all $u' \in U_w^-$ in the form

$$u' = x_{i,i+1}(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in F_q.$$

By means of Steinberg's relation (16) of [St] we may write

$$w x_{i,i+1}(\alpha) e = x_{i,i+1}(-\alpha^{-1}) e - e, \quad \alpha \in F_q^*.$$

It follows that $w(\sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_v(u)^{-1} uu'e)$ is equal to

$$- \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u e - (q-1) \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u e + \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u x_{i,i+1}(-\alpha^{-1}) e.$$

Since $-\alpha^{-1}$ runs through F_q^* as α does, we may replace $-\alpha^{-1}$ by α in the above expression.

Thus, by adding and subtracting $\sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{w(v)}(u)^{-1} u e$ we obtain the desired result. $\square$

5.3 Theorem For all $\alpha \in F_q$ we have

$$w_i e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} - (q+1) \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{\alpha v_n}(u)^{-1} u e, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\},$$

whereas

$$w_{n-1} e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi_{\alpha v_{n-1}}(u)^{-1} uu'e - (q+1) \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi_{\alpha v_{n-1}}(u)^{-1} u e.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$. We know that, not only $U_w^+ \subset S_{\alpha v_n}$, but in fact U is included in $S_{\alpha v}$ and $\chi_{\alpha v_n}$ is a linear character of U . As such, its kernel contains $U_w^- = X_{(i,i+1)}$ due to Corollary 3.4. Thus

$$e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi(uu')^{-1} uu' e = \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \sum_{u' \in U_w^-} \chi(u)^{-1} uu' e.$$

Since $w_i(\alpha v_n) = \alpha v_n$ when $i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$ and $w_n(\alpha v_n) = \alpha v_{n-1}$, the result follows from Theorem 5.2. $\square$

5.4 Theorem Let $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_M$ and let $\alpha \in F_q^*$. Then $ge_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ implies $gv_n = \pm v_n$. The converse holds precisely when l divides $q+1$ (or $n=1$).

Proof. Suppose $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_M$ satisfies $ge_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$. Given $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ Lemma 3.5 gives

$$sge_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = gs^g e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha v_n}(s^g) ge_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha g v_n}(s) e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}.$$

On the other hand $se_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = \chi_{\alpha v_n}(s) e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$. Thus the linear characters $\chi_{\alpha g v_n}$ and $\chi_{\alpha v_n}$ of Sp^M are equal. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $gv_n = \pm v_n$.

Suppose conversely that $gv_n = \pm v_n$. We wish to analyze under what conditions $ge_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$. For ease of notation we shall write $\chi = \chi_{\alpha v_n}$.

As $-1 \in Z(\mathrm{Sp})$ acts trivially on I , we may assume without loss of generality that $gv_n = v_n$. Write $g = sa$, where $s \in \mathrm{Sp}^M$ and $a \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$. Now

$$v_n = gv_n = sav_n = av_n + (sav_n - av_n).$$

Here $av_n \in N$ and $sav_n - av_n \in M$. As M and N intersect trivially, we infer $av_n = v_n$ and $sv_n = v_n$. Since Sp^M acts on e_χ via χ and $sv_n = v_n$, it follows from (3) that $se_\chi = e_\chi$. We may thus assume $g = a$.

By means of the usual BN -pair decomposition of $\mathrm{Sp}_{M,N} \cong \mathrm{GL}(M)$ we may write $a = b w u$, where $b \in B$, $w \in W_0$, and $u \in T$. Now $u v_n = v_n$, and therefore $u e_\chi = e_\chi$, so we may dispense with u . From $b w v_n = v_n$ we easily see that $b v_n = v_n$ and $w v_n = v_n$. Write $b = h v$, where $h \in H$ and $v \in U$. Then $v v_n = v_n$ and $v e_\chi = e_\chi$. Hence $h v_n = v_n$, and therefore $h e_\chi = e_\chi$ by (25). Thus we may assume $g = w$. As $w v_n = v_n$, $w \in \langle w_1, \dots, w_{n-2} \rangle$.

We may further suppose that $w = w_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-2$. By Theorem 5.3 we have

$$we_\chi = e_\chi - (q+1) \sum_{u \in U_w^+} \chi(u)^{-1} ue.$$

It follows that $we_\chi = e_\chi$ if and only if l divides $q+1$. $\square$

5.5 Corollary Suppose l divides $q+1$. Let $\alpha \in F_q^*$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W_0$. Then $w_1 e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w_2 e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ if and only if $w_1 v_n = w_2 v_n$.

Let $L = L_\lambda$ be the sum of all I_i inside I . As the I_i are not isomorphic FB -modules, the sum is direct. It follows that $\dim L = X^+ = |\mathrm{Sp}_M : \widehat{S}_{v_n}|$.

5.6 Theorem Suppose l divides $q+1$. Then L is an absolutely irreducible Sp_M -module isomorphic to X_λ^+ .

Proof. We first show that L is $\mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$ -invariant, affording a monomial representation.

A typical basis element of L is of the form $uw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $u \in U_{w(i)^{-1}}^-$. Multiplying this on the left by $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$ yields $guw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, where $guw(i)$ is in $\mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$, and hence is of the form bwv . Here $b \in B$, $w \in W_0$ and $v \in T$. We know that $v \in T$ acts trivially on $e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$. By Corollary 5.5 $we_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(j)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, where $wv_n = v_j$. Write $b = uh$, where $h \in H$ and $u \in U$. Then $hw(j)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}} = w(j)e_{\chi_{\alpha h_i v_n}}$ by (25), where $hv_j = h_j v_j$. Writing $u = u_1 u_2$, where $u_1 \in U_{w(j)^{-1}}^-$ and $u_2 \in U_{w(j)^{-1}}^+$, we see that $uw(j)e_{\chi_{\alpha h_i v_n}}$, and hence $guw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$, is a scalar multiple of $u_1 w(j)e_{\chi_{\alpha h_i v_n}}$. Since this another typical basis element, we have shown that L is $\mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$ -invariant and that L affords a monomial representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{M,N}$ relative to the given basis vectors, as claimed.

As seen in the proof of Theorem 5.1, Sp^M sends every typical basis element to a scalar multiple of itself. We deduce that L is Sp_M -invariant, and permutes the one dimensional subspaces $Fuw(i)e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$; the above argument shows that the stabilizer of $Fe_{\chi_{v_n}}$ is $\widehat{S}_{v_n}$; we have $\dim L = |\mathrm{Sp}_M : \widehat{S}_{v_n}|$. Therefore $L \cong \mathrm{ind}_{\widehat{S}_{v_n}}^{\mathrm{Sp}^M} Fe_{\chi_{v_n}}$. Since the linear character of $\widehat{S}_{v_n}$ afforded by $Fe_{\chi_{v_n}}$ is $\chi_{v_n}^+$, the result follows from Theorem 3.7. $\square$

Suppose l divides $q+1$. If in the definition (3) of χ_v we use $\lambda[\kappa]$, $\kappa \in F_q^*$, instead of λ , then L will contain a copy of $X_{\lambda[\kappa]}^+$. Now for κ a square $L_\lambda = L_{\lambda[\kappa]}$. However if κ is not a

square then $L_\lambda \not\cong L_{\lambda[\kappa]}$ by Theorems 3.12 and 5.6. We may combine these comments and the preceding results in the following theorem.

5.7 Theorem Suppose l divides $q+1$. The Steinberg module I contains an irreducible $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -submodule isomorphic to X_λ^+ . If p is odd I also contains a copy of $X_{\lambda[\kappa]}^+$, where $\kappa \in F_q^*$ is not a square. They are not isomorphic, so I contains their direct sum. Moreover, X_λ^+ and $X_{\lambda[\kappa]}^+$ are isomorphic to $\theta^n Y_\lambda^+$ and $\theta^n Y_{\lambda[\kappa]}^+$, where Y_λ and $Y_{\lambda[\kappa]}$ are the Weil modules of types λ and $\lambda[k]$ restricted to Sp_M . If $l = 2$ then X_λ^+ and $X_{\lambda[\kappa]}^+$ are isomorphic to the restriction to Sp_M of the Weil modules Y_λ^- and $Y_{\lambda[\kappa]}^-$ of types λ and $\lambda[k]$ and degree $(q^n - 1)/2$.

6 The Steinberg representation of Sp

We assume henceforth that $l = 2$ and p is odd. For the remainder of the paper we shall write $\chi = \chi_{v_n}$. Let $e_1 = \sum_{u \in U} ue$. Then $S = Fe_1$ is the socle of $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module I (cf. Theorem 4.7 of [G]), affording the trivial representation of Sp . Set $\widehat{L} = \widehat{L}_\lambda = L_\lambda \oplus S$ and $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{L}_\lambda = \widehat{L}_\lambda/S$. Our main goal is to show that the F -subspace $\widetilde{L}_\lambda$ of I/S is Sp -stable and isomorphic to the Weil module Y_λ^+ of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$ as an $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -module. Our main tool will be the following criterion.

6.1 Theorem Suppose the following identities hold in I :

$$c_n e_\chi = e_1 + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha)) e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}, \quad (27)$$

$$c_{n-1} e_\chi = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha) w_{n-1} e_\chi. \quad (28)$$

Then $\widetilde{L}$ is Sp -stable and $\widetilde{L} \cong Y^+$ as $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -modules.

Proof. As L and Y^+ are absolutely irreducible isomorphic Sp_M -modules, there is a unique $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -isomorphism $f : L \rightarrow Y^+$ up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar. The dimension of the F -subspace in L and Y where U acts via χ_{v_n} is equal to one. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f(e_{\chi_{v_n}}) = \epsilon_{v_n}^+. \quad (29)$$

Let $\tilde{f}: \tilde{L} \rightarrow Y^+$ be the $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -isomorphism inherited from f . Thus

$$\tilde{f}(x + S) = f(x), \quad x \in L.$$

We wish to show that

- (a) $\tilde{L}$ is Sp -stable;
- (b) $\tilde{f}$ is an $F\mathrm{Sp}$ -isomorphism.

We first turn our attention to (a). We know that Sp_M and c_n generate Sp , as Sp_M is a maximal subgroup of Sp and $c_n \notin \mathrm{Sp}_M$. Hence, to see that $\tilde{L}$ is Sp -stable, we only need to verify that $\tilde{L}$ is stable under c_n .

A typical F -generator of L is of the form $uhwe_\chi$ where $u \in T$, $w \in W_0$ and $h \in H$. We need to show that $c_n(uhwe_\chi) \in \hat{L}$. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $wv_n = v_n$. In this case c_n and w commute. Since T is contained in $U_{c_n}^+$, c_n conjugates u into U . As H is normalized by c_n , it follows that ${}^{c_n}(uhw)$ belongs to Sp_M . Therefore ${}^{c_n}(uhw)L = L$, and a fortiori ${}^{c_n}(uhw)\hat{L} = \hat{L}$. Since c_ne_χ belongs to $\hat{L}$ by (27), we infer

$$c_n(uhwe_\chi) = {}^{c_n}(uhw)c_ne_\chi \in \hat{L}.$$

Case 2. $wv_n = v_i$, $i \neq n$. By Corollary 5.5 we may write $we_\chi = w'w_{n-1}e_\chi$ where w' is an element of W_0 that fixes v_n and moves v_{n-1} to v_i . By means of the identity $w_{n-1}c_nw_{n-1} = c_{n-1}$ we may write

$$c_n(uhwe_\chi) = {}^{c_n}(uhw')c_nw_{n-1}e_\chi = {}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}w_{n-1}c_nw_{n-1}e_\chi = {}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}c_{n-1}e_\chi.$$

Now ${}^{c_n}u \in U$, ${}^{c_n}w' = w'$, and ${}^{c_n}h \in H$. Therefore ${}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}_M$, and hence ${}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}\hat{L} = \hat{L}$. Since $c_{n-1}e_\chi \in \hat{L}$ by (28), it follows that $c_n(uhwe_\chi) \in \hat{L}$. This proves (a).

In order to establish (b), it suffices to see that $\tilde{f}$ commutes with the action of c_n on $\hat{L}$, as $\tilde{f}$ is already an isomorphism of $F\mathrm{Sp}_M$ -modules.

From Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 we have

$$c_n\epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha))\epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+, \quad (30)$$

$$c_{n-1}\epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}^+. \quad (31)$$

Let $\alpha \in F_q^*$, and let $h \in H$ satisfy $hv_n = \alpha v_n$. Then $he_{\chi_{v_n}} = e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}$ by (25). Therefore

$$f(e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}) = f(he_{\chi_{v_n}}) = hf(e_{\chi_{v_n}}) = h\epsilon_{v_n}^+ = \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+, \quad \alpha \in F_q^*. \quad (32)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(c_n e_\chi + S) &= f\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha)) e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}\right) \quad \text{by (27)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha)) f(e_{\chi_{\alpha v_n}}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\alpha) + \lambda(2\alpha)) \epsilon_{\alpha v_n}^+ \quad \text{by (32)} \\ &= c_n \epsilon_{v_n}^+ \quad \text{by (30)} \\ &= c_n \tilde{f}(e_\chi + S). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(c_{n-1} e_\chi + S) &= f\left(\sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} x_{n-1,n} (-\alpha) w_{n-1} e_{\chi_{v_n}}\right) \quad \text{by (28)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} x_{n-1,n} (-\alpha) w_{n-1} f(e_{\chi_{v_n}}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} x_{n-1,n} (-\alpha) w_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} x_{n-1,n} (-\alpha) \epsilon_{v_{n-1}}^+ \quad \text{by (18)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_{n-1} + \alpha v_n}^+ \quad \text{by (18)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \epsilon_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}^+ \quad \text{by (18)} \\ &= c_{n-1} \epsilon_{v_n}^+ \quad \text{by (31)} \\ &= c_{n-1} \tilde{f}(e_\chi + S). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{f}$ commutes with the actions of c_n and c_{n-1} on the single element e_χ . We proceed to verify that this suffices for $\tilde{f}$ to commute with the action of c_n on the whole $\tilde{L}$.

Let $uhwe_\chi$ be a typical F -generator of L , where $u \in T$, $w \in W_0$ and $h \in H$. Suppose first $wv_n = v_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(c_n(uhwe_\chi + S)) &= \tilde{f}({}^{c_n}(uhw)c_ne_\chi + S)) \\
&= {}^{c_n}(uhw)\tilde{f}(c_ne_\chi + S) \quad \text{since } {}^{c_n}(uhw) \in \text{Sp}_M \\
&= {}^{c_n}(uhw)c_n\tilde{f}(e_\chi + S) \quad \text{as just proven} \\
&= c_nuhw\tilde{f}(e_\chi + S) \\
&= c_n\tilde{f}(uhwe_\chi + S) \quad \text{since } uhw \in \text{Sp}_M.
\end{aligned}$$

If now $wv_n = v_i$ with $i \neq n$, we may write $w = w'w_{n-1}$ as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(c_n(uhwe_\chi + S)) &= \tilde{f}({}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}c_{n-1}e_\chi + S)) \\
&= {}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}\tilde{f}(c_{n-1}e_\chi + S) \quad \text{since } {}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1} \in \text{Sp}_M \\
&= {}^{c_n}(uhw')w_{n-1}c_{n-1}\tilde{f}(e_\chi + S) \quad \text{as just proven} \\
&= c_nuhw'w_{n-1}\tilde{f}(e_\chi + S) \\
&= c_nuhw\tilde{f}(e_\chi + S) \\
&= c_n\tilde{f}(uhwe_\chi + S).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{f}$ commutes with the action of c_n on all of $\tilde{L}$. □

We next show that formula (27) is indeed valid.

6.2 Theorem The following identity holds in I :

$$c_ne_\chi = e_1 + \sum_{\beta \in T_q} (\lambda(-2\beta) + \lambda(2\beta))e_{\chi_{\beta v_n}}. \quad (33)$$

Proof. We start by proving the identity

$$c_ne_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(\alpha^{-1})ux_{n,2n}(\alpha)e. \quad (34)$$

We know that χ is trivial on $U_{c_n}^+$ (cf. Lemma 3.3) and that $U_{c_n}^-$ is the root subgroup $X_{n,2n}$.

Moreover, $c_ne = -e$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
c_ne_\chi &= c_n\left(\sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{c_n}^-} \chi(v)^{-1}uve\right) \\
&= \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \chi(x_{n,2n}(\alpha))^{-1}({}^{c_n}u)c_nx_{n,2n}(\alpha)e - \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} ({}^{c_n}u)e.
\end{aligned}$$

In our context, Steinberg's formula (16) of [St] reads

$$c_n x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e = x_{n,2n}(-\alpha^{-1})e - e, \quad \alpha \in F_q^*.$$

In the proof of Lemma 3.3 we established

$$\chi(x_{n,2n}(\alpha)) = \lambda(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in F_q.$$

Therefore $\sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \chi(x_{n,2n}(\alpha))^{-1} ({}^{c_n}u) c_n x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e$ is equal to

$$\sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) ({}^{c_n}u) x_{n,2n}(-\alpha^{-1})e - \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) ({}^{c_n}u) e.$$

As λ is a non-trivial character F_q^*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) = -\lambda(0) = -1.$$

Thus

$$- \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) ({}^{c_n}u) e = - \left(\sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) \right) \left(\sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} ({}^{c_n}u) e \right) = \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} ({}^{c_n}u) e.$$

Since $c_n^2 \in H$, conjugation by c_n is an automorphism of $U_{c_n}^+$. Hence

$$\sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} ({}^{c_n}u) e = \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} u e,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) {}^{c_n}u x_{n,2n}(-\alpha^{-1})e &= \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(-\alpha) u x_{n,2n}(-\alpha^{-1})e \\ &= \sum_{u \in U_{c_n}^+} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q^*} \lambda(\alpha^{-1}) u x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the preceding equations we obtain (34).

In order to prove that the right hand sides of (33) and (34) are equal we shall compare their coordinates relative to the basis $(ue)_{u \in U}$ of I . A typical basis element is of the form $u x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e$, where $u \in U_{c_n}^+$ and $\alpha \in F_q$. The coefficient of $u x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e$ in the right hand side of (34) is equal to 0 if $\alpha = 0$, and $\lambda(\alpha^{-1})$ otherwise. Now the coefficient of $u x_{n,2n}(\alpha)e$ in the right hand side of (33), say C , is equal to

$$1 + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\beta) + \lambda(2\beta)) \chi_{\beta v_n} (u x_{n,2n}(\alpha))^{-1}.$$

Here

$$\chi_{\beta v_n}(ux_{n,2n}(\alpha))^{-1} = \chi_{\beta v_n}(x_{n,2n}(\alpha))^{-1} = \lambda(-\alpha\beta^2).$$

Thus

$$C = 1 + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{T}_q} (\lambda(-2\beta) + \lambda(2\beta))\lambda(-\alpha\beta^2).$$

If $\alpha = 0$ then $C = 1 + \sum_{\beta \in F_q^*} \lambda(2\beta) = 1 + (-1) = 0$, since λ is non-trivial and p is odd.

Suppose next that $\alpha \neq 0$. We may write C in the form

$$1 + \sum_{\beta \in F_q^*} \lambda(2\beta - \alpha\beta^2).$$

Suppose β is different from $2\alpha^{-1}$ and α^{-1} . Then the element $\gamma = 2\alpha^{-1} - \beta$ of F_q is different from 0 and β , and satisfies $2\beta - \alpha\beta^2 = 2\gamma - \alpha\gamma^2$. As F has characteristic 2, the summands corresponding to β and γ yield

$$\lambda(2\beta - \alpha\beta^2) + \lambda(2\gamma - \alpha\gamma^2) = 2\lambda(2\beta - \alpha\beta^2) = 0.$$

Now when $\beta = 2\alpha^{-1}$, we have $\lambda(2\beta - \alpha\beta^2) = \lambda(0) = 1$. Added to the 1 on last formula for C yields 0 in F . Thus the only contributing summand for C is the one corresponding to $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. It gives $\lambda(2\alpha^{-1} - \alpha(\alpha^{-1})^2) = \lambda(\alpha^{-1})$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We proceed to verify the second condition of Theorem 6.1. We shall do this by comparing the coefficient of each basis element ue , $u \in U$, on both sides of (28). We begin by considering the right hand side.

6.3 Theorem The following identity holds for all α in F_q :

$$w_{n-1}x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}(u)^{-1}uve.$$

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 5.3 we have

$$w_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_{n-1}}(u)^{-1}uve.$$

Therefore

$$x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_{n-1}}(u)^{-1}(x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)u)x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)ve.$$

Observe that $X_{(n-1,n)}$ normalizes $U_{w_{n-1}}^+$. Thus $u \mapsto \chi_{v_{n-1}}(u^{x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)})$ is a linear character of $U_{w_{n-1}}^+$, which by Lemma 3.5 is equal to $\chi_{v_{n-1}+\alpha v_n}$. This makes sense, since $U_{w_{n-1}}^+$ fixes v_n and v_{n-1} modulo M , and it is therefore contained in $S_{v_{n-1}+\alpha v_n}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi &= \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_{n-1}}(u^{x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)})^{-1} u(x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)v)e \\ &= \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_{n-1}+\alpha v_n}(u)^{-1} u(x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)v)e. \end{aligned}$$

As v runs through $U_{w_{n-1}}^-$ so does $x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)v$. Hence

$$x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{w_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-} \chi_{v_{n-1}+\alpha v_n}(u)^{-1} uve.$$

We may now apply Theorem 5.2 to obtain the desired result. $\square$

We wish to transform the above identity into something that can later be compared with the left hand side of (28). For simplicity of notation we introduce the symplectic transformations $E(b, c, d) \in \text{Sp}^M$, defined by

$$E(b, c, d) = x_{n-1,2n-1}(b)x_{n-1,2n}(c)x_{n,2n}(d)$$

for all $b, c, d \in F_q$. For $a \in F_q$, we shall also write

$$D(a) = x_{n-1,n}(a).$$

Observe that every element of $U_{w_{n-1}}^+$ can be uniquely written in the form $uE(b, c, d)$, where $u \in U_{c_n c_{n-1}}^+$ and $b, c, d \in F_q$. From Lemma 3.3 we infer that for such an element

$$\chi_{v_n+\alpha v_{n-1}}(uE(b, c, d)) = \chi_{v_n+\alpha v_{n-1}}(E(b, c, d)).$$

It follows from Theorem 6.3 that

$$w_{n-1}D(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_n c_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} \chi_{v_n+\alpha v_{n-1}}(E(b, c, d))^{-1} uE(b, c, d)D(a)e. \quad (35)$$

6.4 Theorem Let $u \in U_{c_n c_{n-1}}^+$ and let $a, b, c, d \in F_q$. Let C be the coefficient of $uE(b, c, d)D(a)e$ in the right hand side of (28). Then

$$C = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } c \neq 0, \\ \lambda(-d) & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } c = 0, \\ \lambda(\frac{c^2}{b} - d) & \text{if } b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (35)

$$C = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \chi_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}(E(b, c, d))^{-1}.$$

From (3)

$$\chi_{v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}}(E(b, c, d)) = \lambda(\langle E(b, c, d)(v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}), v_n + \alpha v_{n-1} \rangle).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E(b, c, d)(v_n + \alpha v_{n-1}), v_n + \alpha v_{n-1} \rangle &= \langle cu_{n-1} + du_n + \alpha bu_{n-1} + \alpha cu_n, \alpha v_{n-1} + v_n \rangle \\ &= b\alpha^2 + 2c\alpha + d. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$C = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(-(b\alpha^2 + 2c\alpha + d)) = \lambda(-d) \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \lambda(-(b\alpha^2 + 2c\alpha)).$$

If $b = 0$ and $c = 0$ the C is equal to $q\lambda(-d)$, which equals $\lambda(-d)$ in F (as q is odd and $l = 2$). If $b = 0$ and $c \neq 0$ then $-2c\alpha$ runs through F_q as α runs through F_q . Since λ is non-trivial, C equals 0. Suppose next that $b \neq 0$. We inquire for which α in F_q there exists β in F_q , different from α , so that $b\alpha^2 + 2c\alpha = b\beta^2 + 2c\beta$. This occurs precisely when $\alpha \neq -\frac{c}{b}$. Since $l = 2$ it follows that the only surviving summand in the above formula for C is

$$\lambda(-(b(-\frac{c}{b})^2 + 2c(-\frac{c}{b}))) = \lambda(\frac{c^2}{b}).$$

Multiplying this by $\lambda(-d)$ we obtain the desired result. $\square$

We now turn our attention to the left hand side of (28).

6.5 Theorem The following relation holds in I :

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} \lambda(-d)uE(0,0,d)w_{n-1}c_nE(0,c,b)D(a)e.$$

Proof. Since $U_{c_{n-1}}^-$ is generated by the $D(a)$ and the $E(b,c,0)$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that χ is trivial on $U_{c_{n-1}}^-$. Therefore

$$e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{c_{n-1}}^-} \chi(u)^{-1}uve.$$

Hence

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{c_{n-1}}^-} \chi(u)^{-1}(c_{n-1}u)(c_{n-1}v)e.$$

As c_{n-1} has order 2 modulo H , it follows that conjugation by c_{n-1} is an automorphism of $U_{c_{n-1}}^+$. Therefore

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{c_{n-1}}^-} \chi(u^{c_{n-1}})^{-1}u(c_{n-1}v)e.$$

But $c_{n-1}v_n = v_n$, so Lemma 3.5 gives

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}}^+} \sum_{v \in U_{c_{n-1}}^-} \chi(u)^{-1}u(c_{n-1}v)e.$$

Now every element of $U_{c_{n-1}}^+$ can be uniquely written in the form $uE(0,0,d)$, where $u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+$ and $d \in F_q$. Also, every element of $U_{c_{n-1}}^-$ can be uniquely written in the form $E(b,c,0)D(a)$, where $a, b, c \in F_q$. Since χ is trivial on $U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+$, it follows that

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} \lambda(-d)uE(0,0,d)(c_{n-1}E(b,c,0)D(a))e. \quad (36)$$

Recall that $c_{n-1} = w_{n-1}c_nw_{n-1}$. Hence

$$c_{n-1}E(b,c,0)D(a)e = w_{n-1}c_nw_{n-1}E(b,c,0)D(a)e = w_{n-1}c_nE(0,c,b)w_{n-1}D(a)e.$$

If $a = 0$ then $w_{n-1}D(a)e = -e$, whereas if $a \neq 0$ then

$$w_{n-1}D(a)e = D(-a^{-1})e - e.$$

Summing over all $a \in F_q$, the right hand side of (36) thus yields $1 + (q - 1) = q$ terms equal to

$$- \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \lambda(-d)uE(0, 0, d)w_{n-1}c_nE(0, c, b)e,$$

and one term equal to

$$\sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q^*} \lambda(-d)uE(0, 0, d)w_{n-1}c_nE(0, c, b)D(-a^{-1})e.$$

As $-q \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ and $-a^{-1}$ runs through F_q when a runs through F_q , the result follows. $\square$

In order to proceed we record a number of relations in Sp .

$$D(a)E(b, c, d)D(a)^{-1} = E(b + 2ac + a^2d, c + ad, d).$$

In particular,

$$D(a)E(b, 0, 0)D(a)^{-1} = E(b, 0, 0),$$

$$D(a)E(0, c, 0)D(a)^{-1} = E(2ac, c, 0),$$

$$D(a)E(0, 0, d)D(a)^{-1} = E(a^2d, ad, d).$$

We also have

$$c_nD(a)c_n^{-1} = E(0, a, 0),$$

$$c_nE(0, c, 0)c_n^{-1} = D(-c),$$

$$c_nE(b, 0, 0)c_n^{-1} = E(b, 0, 0),$$

$$w_{n-1}E(b, c, d)w_{n-1}^{-1} = E(d, c, b).$$

In regards to Steinberg's formula (16) of [St], we have the following:

$$c_nE(0, 0, d)e = E(0, 0, -d^{-1})e - e, \quad d \neq 0$$

and

$$w_{n-1}D(a)e = D(-a^{-1})e - e, \quad a \neq 0.$$

In what follows we shall make implicit use of the above formulae. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n-1}c_n E(0, c, b)D(a)e &= w_{n-1}c_n E(0, c, 0)D(a)D(-a)E(0, 0, b)D(a)e \\
&= w_{n-1}c_n E(0, c, 0)D(a)E(a^2b, -ab, b)e \\
&= w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)c_n E(a^2b, -ab, b)e \\
&= w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)E(a^2b, 0, 0)D(ab)c_n E(0, 0, b)e.
\end{aligned}$$

For $b \neq 0$, set

$$f_1(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(0, 0, d)w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)E(a^2b, 0, 0)D(ab)E(0, 0, -b^{-1})e,$$

and under no restrictions on b , we write

$$f_2(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(0, 0, d)w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)E(a^2b, 0, 0)D(ab)e.$$

Then $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to

$$\sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b^* \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} u f_1(a, b, c, d) + \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} u f_2(a, b, c, d).$$

Now $w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)E(a^2b, 0, 0)D(ab)E(0, 0, -b^{-1})e$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac)w_{n-1}D(ab - c)E(0, 0, -b^{-1})e = \\
&E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac)w_{n-1}E(-(ab - c)^2b^{-1}, -(ab - c)b^{-1}, -b^{-1})D(ab - c)e = \\
&E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac)E(-b^{-1}, -(ab - c)b^{-1}, -(ab - c)^2b^{-1})w_{n-1}D(ab - c)e = \\
&E(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, -c^2b^{-1})w_{n-1}D(ab - c)e
\end{aligned}$$

while $w_{n-1}D(-c)E(0, a, 0)E(a^2b, 0, 0)D(ab)e$ is equal to

$$w_{n-1}E(a^2b - 2ac, a, 0)D(ab - c)e = E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac)w_{n-1}D(ab - c)e.$$

Set

$$g_1(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, -c^2b^{-1} + d)D((c - ab)^{-1}), \quad b \neq 0, c \neq ab,$$

$$g_2(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, -c^2b^{-1} + d), \quad b \neq 0,$$

$$g_3(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac + d)D((c - ab)^{-1}), \quad c \neq ab,$$

$$g_4(a, b, c, d) = \lambda(-d)E(0, a, a^2b - 2ac + d).$$

Then $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \neq ab} \sum_{b \neq 0} \sum_{a \in F_q} ug_1(a, b, c, d) + \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \neq 0} \sum_{a \in F_q} ug_2(a, b, c, d) + \\ & \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \neq ab} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} ug_3(a, b, c, d) + \sum_{u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+} \sum_{d \in F_q} \sum_{c \in F_q} \sum_{b \in F_q} \sum_{a \in F_q} ug_4(a, b, c, d). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in U_{c_{n-1}c_n}^+$, $v \in U_{w_{n-1}}^-$ and $a, b, c, d \in F_q$. Then

- (1) If $b \neq 0$ and $v \neq 1$ then the coefficient of $uE(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, -c^2b^{-1} + d)ve$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $\lambda(-d)$. Throughout this process, the triple (b, c, d) is transformed into the triple $(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, d - c^2b^{-1})$. This transformation is invertible, and $(-b^{-1}, -cb^{-1}, d - c^2b^{-1})$ is the triple transformed into (b, c, d) . It follows that, whenever $b \neq 0$, the coefficient of $uE(b, c, d)ve$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $\lambda(c^2b^{-1} - d)$.
- (2) If $b \neq 0$ then the coefficient of $uE(-b^{-1}, cb^{-1}, -c^2b^{-1} + d)e$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $q\lambda(-d) = \lambda(-d)$. Reasoning as above, we see that if $b \neq 0$ the coefficient of $uE(b, c, d)e$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $\lambda(c^2b^{-1} - d)$.
- (3) If $v \neq 1$ then the coefficient of $uE(0, 0, d)v$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $q\lambda(-d) = \lambda(-d)$.
- (4) The coefficient of $uE(0, 0, d)$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $q^2\lambda(-d) = \lambda(-d)$.
- (5) If $a \neq 0$, $v \neq 1$ and $x \in F_q$ then the coefficient of $uE(0, a, x)v$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $\sum_{d \in F_q} (-d) = 0$.
- (6) If $a \neq 0$ and $x \in F_q$ the coefficient of $uE(0, a, x)$ in $c_{n-1}e_\chi$ is equal to $q \sum_{d \in F_q} (-d) = 0$.

By comparing the above with Theorem 6.4 we obtain

6.6 Theorem The following identity holds in I :

$$c_{n-1}e_\chi = \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} w_{n-1}x_{n-1,n}(-\alpha)w_{n-1}e_\chi.$$

Taking into account Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.6 our main result is proven. If we then replace λ by $\lambda[\kappa]$, κ not a square, in (3), we may state our result as follows.

6.7 Theorem Let q be a power of an odd prime p . Let I be the Steinberg module for $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ over a field F of characteristic 2 containing a primitive p -th root of unity. Write

S for the socle of I , affording the trivial representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. Then I/S contains as irreducible modules the two non-isomorphic Weil modules for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ over F of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$.

We wish to end the paper by locating the two composition factors just found, in terms of the filtration for the Steinberg module over F introduced by Gow in [G]. Adopting his notation, we have

6.8 Theorem Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let κ be the highest power of 2 dividing $|\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q) : B|$. Then $\overline{I(\kappa)}/\overline{I(\kappa - 1)}$ contains as irreducible modules the 2-modular reductions of the two non-isomorphic complex Weil modules for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ of degree $(q^n - 1)/2$.

Proof. It is a matter of computing the 2-valuation of $|\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q) : P_J|$, where P_J is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ associated to the subset J of $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, c_n\}$ corresponding to χ_{v_n} . By Corollary 3.4, $J = \{c_n\}$. Therefore $P_J = B \cup Bc_nB$, a disjoint union. Since every element of Bc_nB can be written uniquely in the form bc_nv , where $b \in B$ and $v \in U_{c_n}^-$, it follows that $|P_J| = (q + 1)|B|$. Consequently, the 2-valuation of $|\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q) : P_J|$ is equal to κ minus the 2-valuation of $q + 1$. As $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the highest power of 2 dividing $q + 1$ is 1, as required. $\square$

References

- [St] R. Steinberg, *Prime power representations of finite linear groups II*, Canad. J. Math. **9** (1959), 347-351.
- [Sz] F. Szechtman, *Weil representations of the symplectic group*, Journal of Algebra **208** (1998), 662-686.
- [G] R. Gow, *The Steinberg lattice of a finite Chevalley group and its modular reduction*, to appear in Proc. London Math. Soc.